

This document is classified as **Clear** in accordance with the Panel Information Policy. Recipients can distribute this information to the world, there is no limit on disclosure. Information may be shared without restriction subject to copyright.

# MP262 ‘Extending the Installation End Date for CHTS v1.0 and GBCS v1.1’ Conclusions Report – version 0.1

## About this document

---

This document summarises the responses received to the Modification Report Consultation regarding approval or rejection of this modification.

## Summary of conclusions

---

### Modification Report Consultation

Smart Energy Code Administrator and Secretariat (SECAS) received five responses to the Modification Report Consultation. Three respondents believed that the modification should be approved. They considered the modification better facilitated SEC Objective (a)<sup>1</sup>. One respondent abstained and another believes this modification should be rejected.

---

<sup>1</sup> To facilitate the efficient provision, installation, and operation, as well as interoperability, of Smart Metering Systems at Energy Consumers' premises within Great Britain

## Modification Report Consultation responses

---

### Summary of responses

Two respondents (Small Suppliers) believe that approving the modification would permit large numbers of Communication Hubs to be installed, preventing them from being scrapped which will impact the environment and cause financial costs.

Another respondent (Large Supplier) considered that the argument was negated due to the age of the Devices and the potential for a second site visit to be conducted to remediate any issues caused by upgrade failures. They also stated that the financial cost of a second visit may be carried over by a churn Supplier.

One respondent (Large Supplier) believed that this modification should be rejected. They noted the Technical Architecture and Business Architecture Sub-Committee (TABASC) did not recommend extension of the dates. The respondent commented that there should not be official support for Communications Hubs that have known defects, and which do not support a prepayment customer.

One respondent (Small Supplier) also highlighted that that the DCC has been unable to facilitate large amounts of returns simultaneously therefore returns have not been an option.

Another respondent (Large Supplier) noted that the previous end dates expired 14 months ago and queried what has been happening with these Devices since then.

One respondent (Large Supplier) abstained noting that there is no direct benefit to their organisation from this modification being implemented. They support the views from TABASC that the Communication Hubs can still be installed and therefore the main impact this modification is attempting to address is whether the installs would be considered in Suppliers' roll out targets.